

Title: Survey questions appendix for “Accountability in name only: Fact-checking under the EU’s Code of Practice on Disinformation”

Authors: Madalina Botan

Date: July 7th, 2026

Note: The material contained herein is supplementary to the article named in the title and published in the Harvard Kennedy School (HKS) Misinformation Review.

Appendix B: Survey questions (only questions & answers pertaining to Commitments 30–32 from the Code of Practice on Disinformation were included in the present study)

Section 1: About you (non-personal information)

- Please specify your role.
- Please select the type of institution you work for.
 - News agency/Media outlet
 - Fact-checking organisation
 - Media literacy organisation
 - Civil society organization
 - NGO
 - Other (please specify)
- Please specify the country in which you operate.
- If applicable, please select the Hub you work for.
- Please choose one or more sections among the below for which you will be answering. YOU DO NOT NEED TO RESPOND TO ALL SECTIONS. PLEASE RESPOND ONLY TO THE SECTION WHICH IS RELATED TO YOUR EXPERTISE.

Commitment 30: Cooperation with fact checkers

- If your organisation currently has an agreement/contract with [name of VLOPSE’s service], could you please assess the below.
 - Your overall experience: Very poor, poor, fair, good, excellent
 - The quality of the cooperation framework with respect to the requirements for Commitment 30: Very poor, poor, fair, good, excellent
- Please insert below any other comments you might have.

Commitment 31: Fact-checking integration in services (measure 31.1)

- If your organisation currently has an agreement/contract with [name of VLOPSE’s service], could you please assess the below.
 - The use and integration of your work in the platform’s products. Very poor, poor, fair, good, excellent
- Please insert below any other comments you might have.

Commitment 32: Access to relevant information for fact checkers (measure 32.1)

- Could you please assess the availability and relevance of the data provided by [name of VLOPSE’s service] on the impact of fact-checking activities?
 - Availability: Very poor, poor, fair, good, excellent
 - Relevance: Very poor, poor, fair, good, excellent
- Please insert below any other comments you might have.