

Title: Tables for figures used in the article appendix for “White consciousness helps explain conspiracy thinking”

Author: Feodor Snagovsky (1)

Date: December 12<sup>th</sup>, 2024

Note: The material contained herein is supplementary to the article named in the title and published in the Harvard Kennedy School (HKS) Misinformation Review.

---

## Appendix D: Tables for figures used in the article

The following tables correspond to the estimates used in the figures of the main paper. For completeness, both bivariate and multivariate estimates are presented.

**Table D1.** Predictors of the “great replacement” conspiracy theory.

	(1)	(2)
	White replacement	White replacement
White identity	2.618*** (0.215)	2.095*** (0.203)
Conspiracy thinking		2.703*** (0.255)
Age		1.023 (0.025)
Age (squared)		1.000 (0.000)
Woman		0.932 (0.123)
University education		0.772 (0.120)
Income		0.930** (0.023)
Political interest		0.934** (0.022)
Political knowledge		0.953 (0.072)
Ideology (right)		1.218*** (0.040)
Satisfaction with democracy		0.621*** (0.052)
Québec		1.070 (0.155)
Observations	1,367	1,362

Note: Corresponds to Figure 1 in main paper; coefficients are odds-ratios; standard errors in parentheses; \*  $p < .05$ , \*\*  $p < .01$ , \*\*\*  $p < .001$ .

**Table D2. Predictors of conspiracy thinking.**

	(1) Conspiracy thinking	(2) Conspiracy thinking
White identity	0.303*** (0.027)	0.279*** (0.026)
Age		-0.002 (0.006)
Age (squared)		-0.000 (0.000)
Woman		-0.093* (0.037)
University education		-0.024 (0.041)
Income		-0.010 (0.007)
Political interest		-0.029*** (0.007)
Political knowledge		-0.067** (0.022)
Ideology (right)		0.036*** (0.009)
Satisfaction with democracy		-0.344*** (0.023)
Québec		-0.048 (0.042)
Constant	-0.030 (0.021)	1.303*** (0.177)
Observations	1,541	1,539

Note: Corresponds to Figure 2 in main paper; standard errors in parentheses; \*  $p < .05$ , \*\*  $p < .01$ , \*\*\*  $p < .001$ .

**Table D3. Predictors of non-racial conspiracy endorsement.**

	(1) Conspiracy endorsement	(2) Conspiracy endorsement
White identity	0.069*** (0.019)	0.064*** (0.018)
Conspiracy thinking	0.538*** (0.017)	0.420*** (0.018)
White identity x Conspiracy thinking	0.058** (0.020)	0.057** (0.019)
Age		-0.006 (0.004)
Age (squared)		0.000 (0.000)
Woman		-0.011 (0.026)
University education		-0.091** (0.028)
Income		-0.009 (0.005)
Political interest		-0.004 (0.005)
Political knowledge		-0.009 (0.015)
Ideology (right)		0.063*** (0.006)
Satisfaction with democracy		-0.152*** (0.017)
Québec		-0.086** (0.029)
Constant	0.004 (0.014)	0.476*** (0.123)
Observations	1,531	1,529

Note: Corresponds to Figure 3 in main paper; standard errors in parentheses; \*  $p < .05$ , \*\*  $p < .01$ , \*\*\*  $p < .001$

**Table D4.** Predicted effects of conspiracy thinking on conspiracy endorsement at various levels of White identity (WI).

	Mean
WI = -1.75	0.320*** (0.035)
WI = -1.5	0.334*** (0.031)
WI = -1.25	0.349*** (0.027)
WI = -1.0	0.363*** (0.024)
WI = -0.75	0.377*** (0.021)
WI = -0.5	0.391*** (0.019)
WI = -0.25	0.406*** (0.018)
WI = 0	0.420*** (0.018)
WI = 0.25	0.434*** (0.019)
WI = 0.5	0.449*** (0.021)
WI = 0.75	0.463*** (0.024)
WI = 1.0	0.477*** (0.027)
WI = 1.25	0.491*** (0.031)
WI = 1.5	0.506*** (0.035)
Observations	1529

Note: Corresponds to Figure 4 in main paper; marginal effects; standard errors in parentheses; (d) for discrete change of dummy variable from 0 to 1; \*  $p < .05$ , \*\*  $p < .01$ , \*\*\*  $p < .001$ .